

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,292	RALPH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	

All Participants:

(1) Brian E. Pellegrino.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Doherty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

that of record

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Harrington

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

We discussed clarifying that the convex element being movable is after the device is fully assembled and not that the components are movable before or during assembly. Mr. Doherty gave authorization for the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)